

RECURSIVE FORMULAS OF HYPEREDGE DECOMPOSITION SETS

HUANG RUJI ZHONG JIAZHEN

College of Automation Information Engineering, USTB, Beijing 100083, PRC

(Received 1996-02-01)

ABSTRACT The concepts of the undirected and directed decompositions are introduced for a hyperedge. Then, the recursive formulas of the undirected decomposition set $SD(m)$ and directed decomposition set $SPD(m)$ are derived for an m -vertex hyperedge. Furthermore, the recursive formulas of their cardinalities $|SD(m)|$ and $|SPD(m)|$ are yielded.

KEY WORDS active hypernetwork, directed hypergraph theory, hyperedge undirected decomposition, hyperedge directed decomposition.

AN active hypernetwork N is the interconnection of $e \geq 2$ multiterminal active and passive networks called subnetworks. Its topological representation is a directed hypergraph $H=(V,E)$ consisting of a terminal set V and a hyperedge set E , in which directed and undirected hyperedges are corresponding to the active and passive subnetworks in N respectively. Refs.[1] and [2] present the fundamentals of directed hypergraph theory. Ref.[3] gives a survey of the development of directed hypergraph theory and its some applications on electrical network analysis and synthesis. The undirected decomposition set $SD(m)$ and directed decomposition set $SPD(m)$ of an m -terminal hyperedge are of great use in many applications of directed hypergraph theory. For example, in Ref.[4], by applying $SD(m)$, an exact decomposition algorithm is proposed for finding network overall reliability; and in Ref.[5], by applying $SD(m)$ and $SPD(m)$, the DCP, PDCP and GDC methods are presented for analysing hypernetworks, which are improvements and developments to Chen's ECP method. To find $SD(m)$ and $SPD(m)$ efficiently, the recursive formulas presented in this paper can be employed.

1 RECURSIVE FORMULA OF HYPEREDGE UNDIRECTED DECOMPOSITION SET $SD(m)$

An undirected h -decomposition $D=D(m,h)$ of an m -terminal (undirect or directed) hyperedge $F=F[1 \cdots m]=\{1, \cdots, m\}$ is a partition of F into h disjoint nonempty subsets F_1, \cdots, F_h , that is:

$$D = F [F_1, \cdots, F_h] = \{F_1, \cdots, F_h\}, \quad F = \bigcup_{i=1}^h F_i, \quad F_i \cap F_j = \phi, \quad i \neq j \quad (1)$$

where F_i is called the i -th undirected subhyperedge of F with its terminal juxtaposition as its simplified expression. For example, $F=F[123]=\{1,2,3\}$ has an undirected

2-decomposition $F[12,3]=\{12,3\}$. The number of h -decompositions of an m -terminal hyperedge is denoted by $[m,h]$, called the stirling number of the second kind^[6]. The set of all undirected decompositions of F is called the undirected decomposition set of F , and denoted by $SD(m)$.

Theorem 1 (Recursive Formula of $SD(m)$) Let the undirected decomposition set of an m -terminal(undirected or directed) hyperedge $F[1 \cdots m]=\{1, \cdots, m\}$ be

$$SD(m) = U_{h=1}^m SD(m,h) \tag{2-1}$$

$$SD(m,h) = \{D(m,h,a) | a = 1, \cdots, [m,h]\} \tag{2-2}$$

$$D(m,h,a) = \{F[m,h,a,b] | b = 1, \cdots, h\} \tag{2-3}$$

Where $SD(m,h)$ and $D(m,h,a)$ are the undirected h -decomposition set and the a -th undirected h -decomposition of $F[1 \cdots m]$, respectively, and $F(m,h,a,b)$ is the b -th undirected subhyperedge of $D(m,h,a)$. Then the undirected decomposition set of $(m+1)$ -terminal hyperedge $F[1 \cdots (m+1)]=\{1, \cdots, m+1\}$ is

$$SD(m+1) = U_{h=1}^{m+1} SD(m+1,h) \tag{3-1}$$

$$SD(m+1,h) = \{D(m+1,h,a) | a = 1, \cdots, [m+1,h]\} \tag{3-2}$$

$$D(m+1,h,a) = \begin{cases} \{F[m,h-1,a,1], \cdots, F[m,h-1,a,h-1], m+1\}, \\ a = 1, \cdots, [m,h-1]; \\ \{F[m,h,d,1], \cdots, F[m,h,d,b](m+1), \cdots, F[m,h,d,h]\}, \\ d = 1, \cdots, [m,h]; b = 1, \cdots, h; a = [m,h-1] + (d-1)h + b. \end{cases} \tag{3-3}$$

and the cardinality of $SD(m+1,h)$ is

$$|SD(m+1,h)| = [m+1,h] = [m,h-1] + h[m,h] \tag{4}$$

Proof Formulas (3-1) and (3-2) are obvious by definitions. Let us prove formula (3-3). Either adding one subhyperedge $(m+1)$ into each $(h-1)$ -decomposition $D(m,h-1,a)$ of $F[1 \cdots m]$ or adding one terminal $(m+1)$ into each subhyperedge $F[m,h,d,b]$ of every h -decomposition $D(m,h,d)$ can yield one h -decomposition $D(m+1,h,a)$ of $F[1 \cdots (m+1)]$. This will yield all h -decompositions of $F[1 \cdots (m+1)]$, hence formula (3-3) follows. Formula (4) can be derived easily from formula (3-3).

Corollary 1 By using formula (3), starting from $SD(1)=\{\{1\}\}$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} SD(2) &= \{\{1,2\}, \{1,2\}\} \\ SD(3) &= \{\{1,2,3\}, \{12,3\}, \{13,2\}, \{1,23\}, \{1,2,3\}\} \\ SD(4) &= \{\{1,2,3,4\}, \{123,4\}, \{124,3\}, \{12,34\}, \{134,2\}, \{13,24\}, \{14,23\}, \{1,234\}, \\ &\quad \{12,3,4\}, \{13,2,4\}, \{1,23,4\}, \{14,2,3\}, \{1,24,3\}, \{1,2,34\}, \{1,2,3,4\}\} \\ &\quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, to obtain the undirected decomposition set $SD(m|F[i_1 \cdots i_m])$ of any m -terminal hyperedge $F[i_1 \cdots i_m]=\{i_1, \cdots, i_m\}$, we have need only to carry out the following terminal label transformation for $SD(m), SD(m,h)$ and $D(m,h,a)$ in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1: $1 \cdots m \rightarrow i_1 \cdots i_m$ (i.e., $1 \rightarrow i_1, \cdots, m \rightarrow i_m$), denoted by $SD(m|F[i_1 \cdots i_m]) = SD(m)[1 \cdots m \rightarrow i_1 \cdots i_m]$.

Corollary 2 The total number of undirected decompositions of an m -terminal hyper-

-edge $F[1 \cdots m]$ is

$$|SD(m)| = B_m = [m, 1] + \cdots + [m, m] \tag{5}$$

where $[m, h], h=1, \cdots, m$, can be obtained by using recursive formula (4), B_m is called the Bell number^[6]. Starting from $B_1=1$, by applying formulas (4) and (5), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= 1 \\ B_2 &= 1+1=2 \\ B_3 &= 1+3+1=5 \\ B_4 &= 1+7+6+1=15 \\ B_5 &= 1+15+25+10+1=52 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Reordering the elements $D(m, 2, a)$ of $SD(m, 2)$ in increasing order of cardinalities $n = |F[m, 2, a, 1]|$ of their first subhyperedges, and adding in a 2-decomposition $D_2(m, m, 1) = \{1 \cdots m, \Phi\}$ (Φ is an empty set), the resulting set is called an increasing ordering 2-decomposition set, and denoted by $SD_2(m)$ as follows

$$SD_2(m) = \bigcup_{n=1}^m SD_2(m, n) \tag{6-1}$$

$$SD_2(m, n) = \{D_2(m, n, a) | a = 1, \cdots, C_{m-1}^{n-1}\} \tag{6-2}$$

$$D_2(m, n, a) = \{F_1[m, n, a], F_2[m, n, a]\}, |F_1[m, n, a]| = n \tag{6-3}$$

where C_{m-1}^{n-1} is the combinational number of selecting $n-1$ terminals from $m-1$ terminals.

Since $F_1[m, n, a]$ must contain terminal 1, from other $m-1$ terminals selecting out any $n-1$ terminals and adding in terminal 1 can form one $F_1[m, n, a]$ and one 2-decomposition $D_2(m, n, a)$, hence $|SD_2(m, n)| = C_{m-1}^{n-1}$. Thus we have

$$|SD_2(m)| = \sum_{n=1}^m C_{m-1}^{n-1} = 2^{m-1} \tag{7}$$

Corollary 3 From Corollary 1 and formula (6) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} SD_2(1) &= \{1, \Phi\} \\ SD_2(2) &= \{\{1, 2\}, \{12, \Phi\}\} \\ SD_2(3) &= \{\{1, 23\}, \{12, 3\}, \{13, 2\}, \{123, \Phi\}\} \\ SD_2(4) &= \{\{1, 234\}, \{12, 34\}, \{13, 24\}, \{14, 23\}, \{123, 4\}, \{124, 3\}, \{134, 2\}, \{1234, \Phi\}\} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3 will be used to derive the recursive formula of $SPD(m)$ in the following section.

2 RECURSIVE FORMULA OF HYPEREDGE DIRECTED DECOMPOSITION SET $SPD(m)$

A positive-rooted directed h -decomposition $PD(m, h)_r$, with a positive root set $r = \{r_1, \cdots, r_n\}$, of an m -terminal directed hyperedge $F(1 \cdots m) = \{1, \cdots, m\}$ is a partition of $F(1 \cdots m)$

into h nonempty positive-rooted subhyperedges $r_i w_i$ with a positive root r_i (w_i is a negative pole set or empty set), $i=1, \dots, h$, and denoted by

$$PD(m, h)_r = F(rw) = F(r_1 w_1, \dots, r_h w_h) = \{r_1 w_1, \dots, r_h w_h\} \tag{8}$$

where $rw = r_1 w_1, \dots, r_h w_h$. The positive-rooted directed decomposition set $SPD(m)_p$, with a given positive-root set $p = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, of $F(1 \dots m)$ is the set of all its positive-rooted directed decompositions $PD(m, h, c)_r$ with a root set $r \supseteq p$, that is,

$$SPD(m)_p = \{PD(m, h, c)_r | r \supseteq p; h = |p|, \dots, m; c = 1, \dots, (m, h)_p\} \tag{9}$$

where $r = \{r_1, \dots, r_h\} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n, r_{n+1}, \dots, r_n\}$, and $(m, h)_p$ is the number of all positive-rooted directed h -decompositions $PD(m, h, c)_r$ with a given positive-root set $p \subseteq r$ of $F(1 \dots m)$. For example, $SPD(3)_{2,3} = \{\{21, 3\}, \{2, 31\}, \{2, 3, 1\}\}$, $SPD(3)_1 = \{\{123\}, \{12, 3\}, \{13, 2\}, \{1, 23\}, \{1, 32\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$. The usual case is given $p=1$. For simplicity, let $SPD(m) = SPD(m)_1$, $(m, h) = (m, h)_1$, and $PD(m, h, c) = PD(m, h, c)_r$ ($r \supseteq 1$). Obviously, to obtain the positive-rooted directed h -decomposition $PD(m, h, c | F(i_1 \dots i_m))$ and positive-rooted directed decomposition set $SPD(m | F(i_1 \dots i_m))$ of any m -terminal hyperedge $F(i_1 \dots i_m) = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$, we have need only to carry out the terminal label transformation $1 \dots m \rightarrow i_1 \dots i_m$ for $PD(m, h, c)$ and $SPD(m)$, denoted by $PD(m, h, c | F(i_1 \dots i_m)) = PD(m, h, c)(1 \dots m \rightarrow i_1 \dots i_m)$, $SPD(m | F(i_1 \dots i_m)) = SPD(m)(1 \dots m \rightarrow i_1 \dots i_m)$.

Theorem 2 (Recursive Formula of $SPD(m)$) Let the directed decomposition set with positive root 1 of an m -terminal directed hyperedge $F(1 \dots m) = \{1, \dots, m\}$ be

$$SPD(m) = U_{h=1}^m SPD(m, h) \tag{10-1}$$

$$SPD(m, h) = \{PD(m, h, c) | c = 1, \dots, (m, h)\} \tag{10-2}$$

$$PD(m, h, c) = \{F(m, h, c, b) | b = 1, \dots, h\} \tag{10-3}$$

where $SPD(m, h)$ and $PD(m, h, c)$ are the positive-rooted directed h -decomposition set and the c -th positive-rooted h -decomposition of $F(1 \dots m)$, respectively, and $F(m, h, c, b)$ is the b -th positive-rooted subhyperedge of $PD(m, h, c)$. let $C_0^0 = 1$, $(m, 0) = 0$, $(m, h | h > m) = 0$. Then the positive-rooted directed decomposition set of $(m+1)$ -terminal directed hyperedge $F(1 \dots (m+1)) = \{1, \dots, m+1\}$ is

$$SPD(m+1) = U_{h=1}^{m+1} SPD(m+1, h) \tag{11-1}$$

$$SPD(m+1, h) = \{PD(m+1, h, c) | c = 1, \dots, (m+1, h)\} \tag{11-2}$$

$$PD(m+1, h, c) = \begin{cases} \{F(m, h, d, 1), \dots, F(m, h, d, b)(m+1), \dots, F(m, h, d, h)\} \\ \quad d = 1, \dots, (m, h); b = 1, \dots, h; c = (d-1)h + b; \\ \{F_1[m, n, a]\} \cup PD(m-n+1, h-1, d | (m+1)F_2[m, n, a]), \\ \quad n = 1, \dots, m-h+2; a = 1, \dots, C_{m-1}^{n-1}; \\ \quad d = 1, \dots, (m-n+1, h-1); \\ c = h(m, h) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-h+2} u(n-i) C_{m-1}^{i-1} (m-i+1, h-1) + (a-1) \\ \quad (m-n+1, h-1) + d. \end{cases} \tag{11-3}$$

where $F_1[m, n, a]$ and $F_2[m, n, a]$ are the first and second subhyperedges of increasing

order-ing 2-decompostion $D_2(m,n,a)$, $(m,h) = |\text{SPD}(m,h)|$, $u(n-i)$ is a step function, i.e.,

$$u(n-i) = \begin{cases} 1, & n > i \\ 0, & n \leq i \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, the cardinality of $\text{SPD}(m+1,h)$ is

$$|\text{SPD}(m+1,h)| = (m+1,h) = h(m,h) + \sum_{n=1}^{m-h+2} C_{m-1}^{n-1}(m-n+1,h-1) \quad (13)$$

Proof Formulas (11-1) and (11-2) are obvious by definitions. Let us prove formula (11-3). Adding one negative-pole terminal $(m+1)$ into each positive rooted subhyperedge $F(m,h,d,b)$ of every positive-rooted h -decomposition $\text{PD}(m,h,d)$ can yield one positive-rooted h -decomposition of $F(1 \cdots (m+1))$. For all possible values of d and b , we can obtain all directed h -decompositions with positive root 1 and negative pole $(m+1)$ of $F(1 \cdots (m+1))$. $F_1[m,n,a]$ contains terminal 1, here we consider it as one subhyperedge with positive root 1. Hyperedge $(m+1)F_2[m,n,a]$ contains $(m-n+1)$ terminals. Its each directed $(h-1)$ -decomposition with positive root $(m+1)$ is

$$\text{PD}(m-n+1,h-1,d|(m+1)F_2[m,n,a]) = \text{PD}(m-n+1,h-1,d)(1 \cdots (m-n+1) \rightarrow (m+1)F_2(m,n,a)) \quad (14)$$

which contains $h-1$ directed subhyperedges. The union of this set and set $\{F_1(m,n,a)\}$ is a directed h -decomposition containing positive root set $\{1,m+1\}$. For all possible values of n, a and d , we can obtain all directed h -decompositions containing positive root set $\{1,m+1\}$ of $F(1 \cdots (m+1))$. The above two forms yield all directed h -decompositions containing positive root 1 of $F(1 \cdots (m+1))$. Hence formula (11-3) follows. Let $n = m-h+2, a = C_{m-1}^{n-1}, d = (m-n+1, h-1)$ in the expression of c in formula (11-3),

formula (13) can be derived.

Corollary 4 By applying recursive formula (11), starting from $\text{SPD}(1) = \{\{1\}\}$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SPD}(1) &= \{\{1\}\} \\ \text{SPD}(2) &= \{\{12\}, \{1,2\}\} \\ \text{SPD}(3) &= \{\{123\}, \{13,2\}, \{1,23\}, \{1,32\}, \{12,3\}, \{1,3,2\}\} \\ \text{SPD}(4) &= \{\{1234\}, \{134,2\}, \{13,24\}, \{14,23\}, \{1,234\}, \{14,32\}, \{1,324\}, \{124,3\}, \\ &\quad \{12,34\}, \{1,423\}, \{12,43\}, \{13,42\}, \{123,4\}, \{14,3,2\}, \{1,34,2\}, \{1,3,24\}, \\ &\quad \{1,43,2\}, \{1,4,23\}, \{1,42,3\}, \{12,4,3\}, \{13,4,2\}, \{1,4,3,2\}\} \\ &\quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5 The total number of all directed decompositions of an m -terminal directed hyperedge $F(1 \cdots m)$, called m -terminal directed decomposition number and denoted by H_m , is

$$|\text{SPD}(m)| = H_m = (m,1) + \cdots + (m,m) \quad (15)$$

where $(m,h), h=1, \cdots, m$, can be derived by recursive formula (13). Starting from $H_1=1$, by applying formulas (13) and (15) we can obtain

$$H_1=1$$

$$H_2=1+1=2$$

$$H_3=1+4+1=6$$

$$H_4=1+12+9+1=23$$

$$H_5=1+32+54+16+1=104$$

⋮

For example, $H_4=1(3,1)+[2(3,2)+C_2^0(3,1)+C_2^1(2,1)+C_2^2(1,1)]+[3(3,3)+C_2^0(3,2)+C_2^1(2,2)]+C_2^0(3,3)=1+12+9+1=23$

The correctness of Corollarys 4 and 5 can be verified by applying the Corollary 1.3 (Algorithm for finding $SPD(m)_p$) and Corollary 1.4 in Ref.[2].

3 CONCLUSIONS

Theorem 2 and Corollaries 4 and 5 are new recursive formulas for positive-rooted directed decomposition set $SPD(m)$ and m -terminal directed decomposition number H_m . They expose two explicit relations among several $SPD(m)$'s and H_m 's with some different values of m , respectively, and can be used to generate $SPD(m)$ and H_m recursively.

REFERENCES

- 1 Huang Ruji. Journal of Electronics (in Chinese), 1987, 9(3) : 244
- 2 Huang Ruji. J of Uni of Sci and Tech Beijing, 1995, 2(2) : 114.
- 3 Huang Ruji. Electronic Science and Technology Review (in Chinese), 1995(3) : 10
- 4 Huang Ruji. Journal of Electronics (in Chinese), 1990, 12(3) : 276
- 5 Huang Ruji. Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications, 1995, 23(5) : 531
- 6 Cohen D I A. Basic Techniques of Combinatorial Theory. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1978

超边分解集的递推公式

黄汝激 钟家桢

北京科技大学自动化信息工程学院, 北京 100083

摘要 引入了超边的无向分解与有向分解的概念, 导出了 m 点超边的无向分解集 $SD(m)$ 和有向分解集 $SPD(m)$ 的递推公式, 进而得到它们的基数 $|SD(m)|$ 和 $|SPD(m)|$ 递推公式.

关键词 有源超网络, 有向超图理论, 超边无向分解, 超边有向分解